

**AN ACCURATE HIGH-ORDER DIFFERENCE SYSTEM  
FOR A HEAT CONDUCTIVITY EQUATION  
WITH SEVERAL SPACE VARIABLES**

A. A. SAMARSKII

(Moscow)

(Received 15 August 1963)

1. We shall consider that in  $\bar{Q}_T = \bar{G} \times [0 \leq t \leq T]$ , where  $\bar{G} = \{0 \leq x_\alpha \leq l_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, p\}$  there is a  $p$ -dimensional parallelepiped with boundary  $\Gamma$  related to the problem (see [1]):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^p L_\alpha u + f(x, t), \quad L_\alpha u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_\alpha^2}, \quad (1)$$

$$u_\Gamma = \mu(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_p). \quad (2)$$

In [1] an economical double-layer difference system has been proposed for solving problem (1)-(2) and by the method of power inequalities it has been shown that this system is absolutely stable and converges in norm  $\mathcal{L}_2(\omega_h)$  at a rate depending on  $O(h^4 + \tau^2)$  when  $p \leq 3$ . The purpose of this paper is to show that this system retains these properties even when  $p = 4$ , i.e. is suitable for  $p \leq 4$ .

2. Let  $\bar{\omega}_h$  be a spatial net, uniform in respect of each of the variables  $x_\alpha$  with steps  $h_\alpha = l_\alpha/N_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$  and  $\bar{\omega}_T$  an arbitrary, non-uniform net in the segment  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,  $\bar{\Omega} = \bar{\omega}_h \times \bar{\omega}_T$ . We shall also use the notation of [1]; the norm in  $\mathcal{L}_2(\omega_h)$  is particularly suitable:

$$\|z\| = \left( \sum_{\omega_h} z^2 H \right)^{1/2}, \quad H = \prod_{\alpha=1}^p h_\alpha. \quad (3)$$

The double-layer progressive scheme of [1] becomes

\* Zh. vych. mat., 4, No. 1, 161-165, 1964.

$$Ay_{\bar{t}} = \Lambda \check{y} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{\beta > \alpha} (h_{\alpha}^2 + h_{\beta}^2) \Lambda_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\beta} \check{y} + \varphi, \quad (4)$$

$$y|_{\gamma} = \mu, \quad y(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (5)$$

where

$$y = y^{j+1}, \quad \check{y} = y^j = y(x, t_j), \quad y_{\bar{t}} = (y - \check{y})/\tau, \quad \Lambda_{\alpha} y = y_{x_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}},$$

$$\Lambda = \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \Lambda_{\alpha}, \quad A = \prod_{\alpha=1}^p A_{\alpha}, \quad A_{\alpha} = E - \sigma_{\alpha} \tau \Lambda_{\alpha}, \quad \sigma_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{h_{\alpha}^2}{6\tau} \right),$$

$E$  is the unit operator, and  $\gamma$  the boundary of the net  $\bar{\omega}_h$ .

The progressive scheme (4) for solving equation (1) has a maximum error of approximation

$$\Psi = O(|h|^4 + \tau^2), \quad |h| = \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^p h_{\alpha}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

To solve problem (4)-(5) one of the one-dimensional computational algorithms of variable directions mentioned in [1] can be used. We emphasize that several computational algorithms correspond to the same progressive scheme.

We shall consider the problem

$$Az_{\bar{t}} = \Lambda \check{z} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{\beta > \alpha} (h_{\alpha}^2 + h_{\beta}^2) \Lambda_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\beta} \check{z} + \Psi, \quad (6)$$

$$z|_{\gamma} = 0, \quad z(x, 0) = z_0(x). \quad (7)$$

If  $y$  is the solution of problem (4)-(5), and  $u = u(x, t)$  is the solution of the initial problem (1)-(2), their difference  $z = y - u$  satisfies conditions (6)-(7) and  $z_0(x) = 0$  and  $\Psi = \Psi(x, t)$  is the error of approximation of scheme (4) in solving equation (1).

We shall seek the solution of problem (6)-(7) by a method of separation of variables assuming

$$z^{j+1} = \sum_k T_k^{j+1} V_k(x), \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_p), \quad k_{\alpha} = 1, 2, \dots, N_{\alpha} - 1, N_{\alpha} = l_{\alpha} / h_{\alpha}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$V_k(x) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^p v_{k_{\alpha}}(x_{\alpha}), \quad v_{k_{\alpha}}(x_{\alpha}) = \sin \frac{\pi k_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}}{l_{\alpha}}, \quad (9)$$

and  $v_{k_\alpha}(x_\alpha)$  is the eigenfunction of the one-dimensional problem

$$\Lambda_\alpha v_{k_\alpha} + \frac{4}{h_\alpha^2} \xi_\alpha v_{k_\alpha} = 0, \quad v_{k_\alpha}(0) = v_{k_\alpha}(l_\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, p, \quad (10)$$

$$\xi_\alpha = \sin^2 \frac{\pi k_\alpha h_\alpha}{2l_\alpha}. \quad (11)$$

Substituting (8) in (6) and taking into account (9)-(11), we obtain

$$(\rho - 1) \prod_{\alpha=1}^p (1 + 4\gamma_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \xi_\alpha) = -4 \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \gamma_\alpha \xi_\alpha + \frac{4}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{\beta > \alpha}^p (\gamma_\alpha + \gamma_\beta) \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + \tau A / T^j, \quad (12)$$

where  $\rho = \rho_k = T_k^{j+1} / T_k^j$ ,  $\gamma_\alpha = \tau / h_\alpha^2$ , and  $A$  is the Fourier coefficient of function  $\Psi$  in relation to  $\{V_k\}$ :

$$A = A_k^{j+1} = (\Psi^{j+1}, V_k) = \sum_{\omega_h} \Psi^{j+1} V_k H. \quad (13)$$

4. From (8) and (12) we find

$$z^{j+1} = \sum_k q_k T_k^j V_k(x) + \tau \sum_k B_k^{j+1} V_k(x), \quad (14)$$

where

$$B_k = A_k / \delta, \quad \delta = \prod_{\alpha=1}^p (1 + 4\gamma_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \xi_\alpha), \quad (15)$$

$$q_k = 1 - \left( 4 \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \gamma_\alpha \xi_\alpha - \frac{4}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}^p \gamma_\alpha \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \right) / \delta. \quad (16)$$

Further, it will be shown that

$$-1 < q_k \leq 1, \text{ i.e. } |q_k| \leq 1 \quad \text{if } p \leq 4. \quad (17)$$

We assume that condition (17) is satisfied. Noting that

$$1 + 4\gamma_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \xi_\alpha = 1 + \left( 2\gamma_\alpha - \frac{1}{3} \right) \xi_\alpha \geq \frac{2}{3} + 2\gamma_\alpha \geq \frac{2}{3},$$

$$\delta \geq \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^p, \quad (18)$$

from (14)-(15) we obtain

$$\|z^{j+1}\| \leq \|z^j\| + \tau_{j+1} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^p \|\Psi^{j+1}\|.$$

Hence follows the *a priori* estimate

$$\|z^{j+1}\| \leq \|z_0(x)\| + \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^p \|\Psi^{j+1}\|, \quad (19)$$

$$\|\Psi^{j+1}\| = \sum_{j=1}^{j+1} \tau_j.$$

This proves the absolute stability (for all values of  $\gamma_\alpha = \tau/h_\alpha^2$ ) of scheme (6)-(7) with respect to the initial data and the right-hand side.

5. We shall now prove the inequality (17) used when deriving the *a priori* estimate (19). Index  $k$  is omitted. At first we show that

$$q \leq 1 \quad \text{if } p \leq 4. \tag{20}$$

Indeed, considering that  $\xi_\beta < 1$ , we find

$$\frac{4}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{\beta>\alpha} \gamma_\alpha \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta < \frac{4(p-1)}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \gamma_\alpha \xi_\alpha \leq 4 \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \gamma_\alpha \xi_\alpha, \quad p \leq 4 \tag{21}$$

(20) follows from (21) and (16).

The main difficulty arises in proving the result

$$q > -1. \tag{22}$$

We derive expressions for  $p = 4$ . For  $p < 4$  all the conclusions remain valid and the arguments are simplified. We write in detail the expression for  $\delta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta = \prod_{\alpha=1}^p (1 + 4\gamma_\alpha \xi_\alpha) &= 1 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^p v_\alpha \xi_\alpha + 4 \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{\beta>\alpha} v_\alpha v_\beta \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \\ &+ 8 \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3}^{1-p} v_{\alpha_1} v_{\alpha_2} v_{\alpha_3} \xi_{\alpha_1} \xi_{\alpha_2} \xi_{\alpha_3} + 16 \prod_{\alpha=1}^p v_\alpha \xi_\alpha, \quad p = 4, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where

$$v_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha - \frac{1}{8}. \tag{24}$$

From (22) and (15), (16) it can be seen that the condition  $q + 1 > 0$  will be satisfied if

$$2F = 2\delta - 4 \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \gamma_\alpha \xi_\alpha + \frac{4}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \sum_{\beta>\alpha} (\gamma_\alpha + \gamma_\beta) \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta > 0. \tag{25}$$

Substituting expression (23) in (25) for  $\delta$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \xi_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \sum_{\beta>\alpha} \left( 4\gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta + \frac{1}{9} \right) \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + 8 \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3}^{1-4} v_{\alpha_1} v_{\alpha_2} v_{\alpha_3} \xi_{\alpha_1} \xi_{\alpha_2} \xi_{\alpha_3} + \\ + 16 \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 v_\alpha \xi_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

6. As well as  $F$  we shall consider the expression

$$F_1 = \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} \xi_\alpha\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \xi_\alpha + \frac{1}{9} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \sum_{\beta>\alpha} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \frac{1}{27} \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3} \xi_{\alpha_1} \xi_{\alpha_2} \xi_{\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{81} \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 \xi_\alpha.$$

It is easy to see that  $F_1 > (2/3)^4$  since  $\xi_\alpha < 1$ ,  $1 - 1/3 \xi_\alpha > 2/3$ .

We derive the difference

$$F - F_1 = 4 \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \sum_{\beta>\alpha} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta + \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3}^{1-4} \left(8v_{\alpha_1} v_{\alpha_2} v_{\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{27}\right) \xi_{\alpha_1} \xi_{\alpha_2} \xi_{\alpha_3} + 16 \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 v_\alpha \xi_\alpha - \frac{1}{81} \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 \xi_\alpha$$

and show that it is non-negative if

$$\gamma_\alpha \geq \frac{1}{6}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, p.$$

Indeed, observing that  $v_\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\prod_{\alpha=1}^4 \xi_\alpha < \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3} \xi_{\alpha_1} \xi_{\alpha_2} \xi_{\alpha_3}$ , we at once obtain  $F - F_1 > 0$ , i.e.  $F \geq F_1 > (2/3)^4$ .

Let all values of  $\gamma_\alpha < 1/6$ , i.e.  $1/6 < v_\alpha < 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$ . Then the following inequality is valid:

$$F > F_1 + 4 \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \sum_{\beta>\alpha} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \frac{4}{27} - \frac{2}{81} > \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^4 - \frac{14}{81} = \frac{2}{81} > 0,$$

i.e.  $F > 0$ .

Various combinations of signs are possible for  $v_\alpha$  and  $v_\beta$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . For example, let  $v_1 < 0$  and  $v_\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha = 2, 3, 4$ , i.e.  $\gamma_1 < 1/6$ ,  $|v_1| < 1/6$ , and  $\gamma_\alpha \geq 1/6$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ . Writing  $\mu_\alpha = v_\alpha \xi_\alpha$  and considering that  $|\mu_1| < 1/6$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3} \left(8\mu_{\alpha_1} \mu_{\alpha_2} \mu_{\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{27} \xi_{\alpha_1} \xi_{\alpha_2} \xi_{\alpha_3}\right) + 16 \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 \mu_\alpha - \frac{1}{81} \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 \xi_\alpha > \\ & > \left(8 - \frac{8}{3}\right) \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 + \left(\frac{1}{27} - \frac{1}{81}\right) \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_4 - \frac{4}{3} \xi_1 (\mu_2 \mu_3 + \mu_2 \mu_4 + \mu_3 \mu_4) + \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{27} \xi_1 (\xi_2 \xi_3 + \xi_2 \xi_4 + \xi_3 \xi_4) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently  $F - F_1 \geq 8/3(\gamma_2 \gamma_3 \xi_2 \xi_3 + \gamma_2 \gamma_4 \xi_2 \xi_4 + \gamma_3 \gamma_4 \xi_3 \xi_4) > 0$ .

It is easy to be convinced that with any other combination of signs of  $v_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$ ,  $F > 0$ . Thus it is proved that inequality (16) is

satisfied with any  $\gamma_\alpha$  value,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$ , and consequently the a priori estimate (19) is correct for  $p \leq 4$ .

*Theorem 1.* The progressive scheme (4)-(5) is absolutely stable in the norm  $\mathcal{L}_2(\omega_h)$  with respect to the initial data and the right-hand side, so that for any values of  $\tau$  and  $h_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , for the solution of problem (6)-(7) the a priori evaluation is correct

$$\|z^{j+1}\| \leq \|z(x, 0)\| + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^p \|\Psi^{j+1}\|, \quad \text{if } p \leq 4. \quad (27)$$

*Theorem 2.* Let the solution of problem (1)-(2) satisfy conditions such that system (4)-(5) has a maximum order of approximation, or more precisely

$$\|\Psi\| = O(|h|^4) + O(\tau^2). \quad (28)$$

Then the progressive scheme (4)-(5) with  $p \leq 4$  for an arbitrary sequence of nets  $\Omega$  has fourth order accuracy in respect of  $|h|$  and second order accuracy in respect of  $\tau$ :

$$\|y^{j+1} - u^{j+1}\| = O(|h|^4) + O(\|\tau^2\|_{j+1}), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\|\tau^2\|_{j+1} = \sum_{j'=1}^{j+1} \tau_{j'}^2 \tau_{j'}.$$

Theorem 1 has been proved above. Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 and condition (28) for the error of approximation  $\Psi$  of scheme (4)-(5). It is easy to see that condition (28) will be satisfied if  $u(x, t) \in C^{(6)}$ ,  $f(x, t) \in C^{(4)}$ .

Thus, the double-layer scheme (4)-(5) is applicable for the same number of dimensions ( $p \leq 4$ ) as the triple layer scheme (see [2]). It is obvious that scheme (4)-(5) is more economical (requires fewer arithmetical operations for finding  $y = y^{j+1}$ ) compared with the scheme of [2], and that it converges for any values of  $\gamma_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$  whereas the scheme of [2] restricts  $\gamma_\alpha = \gamma$  in the form  $\gamma \geq \text{const.} > 0$ . In [2] the case of a quadratic net  $h_\alpha = h_\beta = h$  was studied. With a non-quadratic net ( $h_\alpha \neq h_\beta$ ) the scheme of paper [2] becomes considerably more complicated. Scheme (4)-(5) in [1] was generalized for the case of an equation with variable coefficients.

8. As in [1] it is easy to write down accurate high-order difference schemes  $O(|h|^4 + \tau^2)$  for a differential equation of hyperbolic type. We shall consider in  $\bar{Q}_T$  the following problem:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^p L_\alpha u + f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q_T, \quad L_\alpha u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_\alpha^2}, \quad (30)$$

$$u|_{\Gamma} = \mu(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = \bar{u}_0(x). \quad (31)$$

The initial scheme  $O(|h|^4 + \tau^2)$  takes the form

$$y_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} = 0.5 \Lambda(y + \check{y}) - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p h_{\alpha}^2 \Lambda_{\alpha} y_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{\beta > \alpha} (h_{\alpha}^2 + h_{\beta}^2) \Lambda_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\beta} \check{y} + \varphi. \quad (32)$$

where  $y = y^{j+1}$ ,  $\check{y} = y^j$ ,  $\check{\check{y}} = y^{j-1}$ ,  $y_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} = (y - 2\check{y} + \check{\check{y}})/\tau^2$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_{\tau}$  is the uniform net ( $\tau_{j+1} = \tau = \text{const.}$ ).

This can be made to correspond to several progressive schemes of the same order of accuracy. For example we give a progressive scheme

$$Ay_{\bar{t}} = \check{y}_{\bar{t}} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^p (0.5 - \sigma_{\alpha}) \tau \Lambda_{\alpha} \check{y}_{\bar{t}} + 0.5 \tau \Lambda (\check{y} + \check{\check{y}}) + \quad (33)$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \sum_{\beta > \alpha} (1 - \sigma_{\alpha} - \sigma_{\beta}) \tau^2 \Lambda_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\beta} \check{y} + \tau \varphi = \Phi[\check{y}],$$

$$y|_{\gamma} = \mu, \quad y(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad y_{\bar{t}}^{\pm}(x, \tau) = \bar{u}_0(x) - 0.5 \tau \left[ \sum_{\alpha=1}^p L_{\alpha} u_0 + f(x, 0) \right], \quad (34)$$

where

$$A = \prod_{\alpha=1}^p A_{\alpha}, \quad A_{\alpha} = E - \tau^2 \sigma_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\alpha}, \quad \sigma_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{h_{\alpha}^2}{12\tau^2}.$$

To define  $y = y^{j+1}$  from here the following variable direction algorithm is suitable:

$$A_1 w_{(1)} = \Phi, \quad A_{\alpha} w_{(\alpha)} = w_{(\alpha-1)}, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, p, \quad y = \check{y} + \tau w_{(p)}. \quad (35)$$

At the limit (if  $x \in \gamma$ )  $w_{(\alpha)}$  are defined by conditions

$$w_{(\alpha)} = A_{\alpha+1} \dots A_p \mu_{\bar{t}}^{j+1} \quad \text{for } x_{\alpha} = 0, \quad x_{\alpha} = l_{\alpha} \quad (36)$$

(cp. with [1]).

If  $\sigma_{\alpha} = 0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$ , scheme (33) is converted into a known scheme  $O(|h|^2 + \tau^2)$ .

For scheme (33)-(34) theorems similar to Theorems 1 and 2, are valid.

Translated by E. Semere

#### REFERENCES

1. Samarskii, A.A., *Zh. vych. mat.*, 3, No. 5, 812-840, 1963.
2. Douglas, J. and Gunn, J., *Math. Comput.*, 17, No.81, 71-80, 1963